

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO	). F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/706,575	•	11/12/2003	Frank L. Hall	4718.1US (00-0316.01/US)	6661
24247	7590	12/01/2006		EXAMINER	
TRASK E	BRITT		HEINRICH, SAMUEL M		
P.O. BOX 2550 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84110				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		-,		1725	
				DATE MAILED: 12/01/2006	<b>.</b>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Office Action Cumment	10/706,575	HALL, FRANK L.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Samuel M. Heinrich	1725						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a repl will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH c, cause the application to become ABAN	ATION.  ly be timely filed  IS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 S</u>	eptember 2006.							
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-6 and 12-17</u> is/are pending in the	application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>12-17</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	<u> </u>							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-6</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-6</u> is/are rejected.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 April 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct								
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached C	Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not re	ceived.						
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Sum	mary (PTO-413)						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Infor	fail Date mal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2 sheets.	6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/706,575

**Art Unit: 1725** 

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1 and 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The last five lines of claim 1 are not clear and read as a run-on sentence.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) in view of USPN 6,544,816 to Lim et al in view of EP472768A and in view of JP11006073A and JP403101141A. The claimed article is a semiconductor material comprising a device portion and an interposer.

AAPA describe (Specification, Background of the Invention) well known ball grid array manufacture and related process manufacturing. Lim et al describe well known use of an interposer and chips in the cavity of a mold. EP472768A describe (Basic Abstract) well known roughening using a laser beam in order to improve the area for bonding.

JP11006073A discloses (Basic Abstract: Novelty) simultaneous cutting and roughening

with a laser. JP403101141A describes well known use of a laser for removal of oxide and contamination from a surface in order to improve yield of a bonding process. AAPA and Lim et al describe well known encapsulation of semiconductor packages and the use of interposers are well known as disclosed by Lim et al. EP472768A is an example of well known roughening using a laser beam prior to a bonding process. JP11006073A discloses cutting and roughening with a laser. The use of a laser for roughening an interposer would have been obvious at the time applicant's invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art because the laser is well known for cleaning and roughening prior to both bonding and molding.

Process and apparatus limitations are recited in the article claims 1 and 3-6 and these limitations do not impart structural patentability to the article claims. The article structure must define over prior art.

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/706,575 Page 4

Art Unit: 1725

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel M. Heinrich whose telephone number is 571-272-1175. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, P. Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/706,575

Art Unit: 1725

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Samuel M Heinrich Primary Examiner Art Unit 1725